

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.196 OF 1991
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1079 OF 1980

Wipro Products Limited ...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Manoj Sanklecha with Mr.Ricabchand i/b
Mulla & Mulla & Craigie Blunt & Caroe for Petitioners.
Mr.M.I.Sethna, Sr.Counsel with Mr.A.M.Sethna
for Respondents.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

APRIL 4, 2006.

P.C.

1. In terms of order dated 6th March 2006, I am informed, that the appropriate Authority has decided the representation/revision application made by the Petitioners. As that direction stands complied, it will be unnecessary to precipitate the matter. Accordingly, while accepting the

unconditional apology tendered on behalf of the concerned Respondents, this Petition is disposed of.

2. The Officers are present in Court. Notices issued to the concerned Respondents would stand discharged in view of this order.

3. Insofar as the grievance of the Petitioners that the order passed on the Revision Application is inappropriate or does not make provision for interest for the delayed payment, those questions are left open, which will be decided in appropriate proceedings, if resorted to by the Petitioners.

4. The amount which was deposited by the Respondents in this Court in the present proceedings, will have to be refunded to the Respondents along with interest accrued thereon, if any, as the Respondents have directly refunded the amount determined under the order dated 30th March 2006 to the Petitioners. Ordered accordingly.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.